

**MINUTES OF THE EXECUTIVE
TUESDAY, 20 FEBRUARY 2007**

Councillors *Meehan (Chair), *Reith (Vice Chair), *Amin, *Basu, *Canver, *Diakides, *Haley, *B.Harris, *Mallett and *Santry.

* Present

Also Present: Councillors Bevan, Davies, Engert, Newton and Williams.

MINUTE NO.	SUBJECT/DECISION	ACTION BY
TEX159.	<p>DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST (Agenda Item 3)</p> <p>Councillors Diakides and Harris in respect of item 14 – The Bridge NDC Draft Delivery Plan 2007/08.</p> <p>Councillor Reith in respect of item 15 – Rent and Tenants Service Charge Increase 2007/08.</p>	
TEX160.	<p>MINUTES (Agenda Item 4)</p> <p>RESOLVED:</p> <p>That the minutes of the Executive held on 23 January 2007 be approved and signed.</p>	HMS
TEX161.	<p>DEPUTATIONS/PETITIONS/QUESTIONS (Agenda Item 5)</p> <p><u>Review Of Policy And Technical Guidance For Vehicle Crossovers</u></p> <p>We received a presentation the spokesperson of whom, Mr Colin Marr addressed our meeting and spoke in support of the proposals contained in the report of the Interim Director of Urban Environment entitled 'Review Of Policy And Technical Guidance For Vehicle Crossovers' which appeared later on the agenda for our meeting. While generally welcoming the proposals contained in the report, he expressed concern that the number of agreements to crossovers would continue at an anticipated rate of 100 or more per year which would result in further loss of green space, damage to conservation areas as well as the loss of the exclusive use of footways to pedestrians and the loss of kerbside parking. Clarification was sought of why the hard standing controls did not specify the maximum percentage of front gardens which could be covered for this purpose; why there was still no requirement to consult with neighbours; and whether the white lines indicating the presence of a cross over were enforceable. He also expressed disappointment that there was no specific reference in the report to conservation areas that the maximum weight limit for a 'light duty domestic crossover' of 3,500 Kgs. might be sufficient to enable smaller trade vehicles to use them and that the policy needed to be more stringent.</p> <p>Having answered questions which we put to them, our Chair thanked Mr Marr and the other members of the deputation for their attendance and</p>	

**MINUTES OF THE EXECUTIVE
TUESDAY, 20 FEBRUARY 2007**

	indicated that their representations would be considered as part of our deliberations on the Review. (See Minute TEX.168 below).	
TEX162.	<p>MATTERS, IF ANY, REFERRED TO THE EXECUTIVE FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE (Agenda Item 6)</p> <p><u>Scrutiny Review of the Community Safety Role of CCTV</u></p> <p>We received a presentation from Councillor Davies who had chaired the Review Panel into the Community Safety Role of CCTV.</p> <p>Arising from our consideration of Recommendation 2 of the Review we indicated that we would expect the option of merging the traffic enforcement and community safety functions to be promoted as part of any management review of the CCTV function. Having answered questions which we put to him, our Chair thanked Councillor Davis and other members of the Scrutiny Panel for the Review and we</p> <p>RESOLVED:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">That the report be noted and, in accordance with the requirements of the Constitution, officers be requested to submit an Executive response to our meeting on 20 March 2007 including a detailed tabulated implementation action plan.</p>	ACE - PPPC/ DUE
TEX163.	<p>PROGRAMME HIGHLIGHT REPORT - DECEMBER 2006 (Report of the Chief Executive - Agenda Item 7)</p> <p>RESOLVED:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">That the report be noted.</p>	
TEX164.	<p>THE COUNCIL'S PERFORMANCE - DECEMBER 2006 (Joint Report of the Chief Executive and the Acting Director of Finance - Agenda Item 8)</p> <p>We noted that there had been a dip in performance in relation to a number of targets in the first quarter of the current financial year and we stressed that this must not be repeated as the ground lost had proved difficult to make up. We also noted that the Council's budget for 2007/08 had now been set and that because of the settlement reached it was important that there should be no overspendings.</p> <p>RESOLVED:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. That the report be noted. 2. That approval be granted to the virements as set out in section 14 of the interleaved report. 	DF
TEX165.	<p>THE BIG LOTTERY FUND (BLF) CHILDREN'S PROGRAMME - PORTFOLIO OF PROJECTS FOR THE HARINGEY BID (Report of the</p>	

**MINUTES OF THE EXECUTIVE
TUESDAY, 20 FEBRUARY 2007**

	<p>Director of the Children and Young People's - Agenda Item 9)</p> <p>Our Chair agreed to accept the report as urgent business. The report was late because of the need to complete necessary consultations. The report was too urgent to await the next meeting because the deadline for the submission of bids to the Department for Culture, Media and Sport for the Big Lottery Fund Children's Play Programme was 12 March 2007.</p> <p>In response to a question about the absence of play areas in both Crouch End and Fortis Green Wards, we noted that the distribution of play areas was such that while the two Wards in question were shown as having none, there was provision on their boundaries in adjoining Wards. However, the point raised could be considered in the context of the Open Space Strategy.</p> <p>RESOLVED:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. That, in accordance with Contract Standing Orders 8 – 11 (Tender Procedures), approval be granted to the submission of a bid to the Department for Culture, Media and Sport for consideration for funding from the Big Lottery Fund based on the short-listed providers shown in Appendix 3 to the interleaved report. 2. That it be noted that taken together these providers met the full range of outcomes within the Haringey Play Strategy as expected by the Big Lottery Fund. 	DCYP
<p>TEX166.</p>	<p>POST COMPULSORY DISCRETIONARY AWARDS (Report of the Director of the Children and Young People's - Agenda Item 10)</p> <p>RESOLVED:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. That approval be granted to the Council's determination not to take up the power to grant Post Compulsory Discretionary Awards in the 2007/08 financial year, to the publication of the Notice to this effect set out at Appendix 1 to the interleaved report and to the College of North East London, Haringey Adult Learning Service and the Sixth Form Centre being advised accordingly. 2. That approval be granted to the Council's Post Compulsory Discretionary Awards Statement for 2007/08 financial year as set out at Appendix 1. 3. That authority to agree the Council's Post Compulsory Discretionary Awards Statement in future years be delegated to the Director of the Children and Young People's Service in consultation with the Executive Member for Children and Young People. 	<p>DCYP</p> <p>DCYP</p> <p>DCYP</p>
<p>TEX167.</p>	<p>RSL PREFERRED PARTNERING (Report of the Interim Director of</p>	

**MINUTES OF THE EXECUTIVE
TUESDAY, 20 FEBRUARY 2007**

	<p>Adult, Culture and Community Services - Agenda Item 11)</p> <p>In response to a question about whether RSL's new developments programmes would take account of Environmental Protection Law in relation to refuse arrangements and car parking spaces and whether Strategic Partnership initiatives on tackling anti-social behaviour would be addressed, we noted that such issues were to be the subject of a series of protocols to be agreed through an advisory group comprising the Council and the successful partners.</p> <p>Clarification was sought of whether it would be possible to carry out a mapping exercise and if a rationalisation exercise would be carried out following the selection of Partners. Clarification was also sought of whether the proposed partnership arrangements with the RSL's would provide for monitoring arrangements or for a probationary period. We were advised that a mapping exercise was in hand and that a rationalisation process would be the subject of discussion with successful partners although it might not cover smaller or specialist associations. The questions of monitoring arrangements and of a probationary period would be addressed as part of the proposed protocols. We indicated that we would expect the protocols to include provision for an annual report to be made to the Council by successful partners and for those partners to agree to be scrutinised as well as their agreement to recognise the planning policies of the Council.</p> <p>RESOLVED:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. That approval be granted to the following six named Registered Social Landlords as the Council's preferred partners - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Circle Anglia; • London and Quadrant; • Metropolitan Housing Group; • Presentation; • Servite Houses; and • Family Mosaic Group. 2. That a further report be submitted to the next meeting of the Executive on the protocol to be agreed with the successful partners identified in 1 above. 	<p>DACC</p> <p>DACC</p>
<p>TEX168.</p>	<p>REVIEW OF POLICY AND TECHNICAL GUIDANCE FOR VEHICLE CROSSOVERS (Report of the Interim Director of Urban Environment Agenda Item 12)</p> <p>Having regard to the representations made to us earlier by the deputation we noted that the revised technical guidance for footway vehicular crossovers was intended to reflect a greater emphasis on sustaining the street scene environment. It was not possible to blanket cover all conservation areas with a single decision and the Council was required to take a balanced view of applications received. Crossovers</p>	

**MINUTES OF THE EXECUTIVE
TUESDAY, 20 FEBRUARY 2007**

	<p>constituted permitted development but the criteria proposed were more stringent than the current conditions. The white lines to which reference had been made were not enforceable in the same way as yellow lines which indicated parking regulations but the Council could be asked to take action in certain circumstances in relation to obstruction. It was proposed that the policy in relation to crossovers should be reviewed again in six months time and the Executive Member for Environment indicated that he would be prepared to meet with members of the deputation in the meantime to discuss their representations further.</p> <p>RESOLVED:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. That approval be granted to the technical standards set out in Appendix A1 to the interleaved report in order to determine future applications for crossovers. 2. That approval be granted to the new charging mechanism as set out below - <table border="1" data-bbox="284 902 1331 1305"> <thead> <tr> <th>Ref No.</th> <th>Item</th> <th>Current Charge (£)</th> <th>Proposed Charge (£)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td>Crossover application and estimate</td> <td>50</td> <td>100</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td>Light duty crossovers (construction and supervision),</td> <td>500</td> <td>530*</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td>Medium duty crossovers (construction and supervision),</td> <td>750</td> <td>800*</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td>Heavy duty crossover (construction and supervision)</td> <td>1200</td> <td>1270*</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td>Painting of Access Bars (white lines in front of crossovers)</td> <td>60</td> <td>60</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td>Traffic Management Order amendments</td> <td>0</td> <td>1300</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>* denotes annual price increase to rates in the term contract to cover price increase in materials and labour.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. That a further report be submitted to the Executive in October 2007. 	Ref No.	Item	Current Charge (£)	Proposed Charge (£)	1.	Crossover application and estimate	50	100	2.	Light duty crossovers (construction and supervision),	500	530*	3.	Medium duty crossovers (construction and supervision),	750	800*	4.	Heavy duty crossover (construction and supervision)	1200	1270*	5.	Painting of Access Bars (white lines in front of crossovers)	60	60	6.	Traffic Management Order amendments	0	1300	<p>DUP</p> <p>DUP</p> <p>DUP</p>
Ref No.	Item	Current Charge (£)	Proposed Charge (£)																											
1.	Crossover application and estimate	50	100																											
2.	Light duty crossovers (construction and supervision),	500	530*																											
3.	Medium duty crossovers (construction and supervision),	750	800*																											
4.	Heavy duty crossover (construction and supervision)	1200	1270*																											
5.	Painting of Access Bars (white lines in front of crossovers)	60	60																											
6.	Traffic Management Order amendments	0	1300																											
<p>TEX169.</p>	<p>GLS SITE - LEGAL AGREEMENT WITH THE OWNERS FOR GROWTH AREA FUNDING (Report of the Interim Director of Urban Environment - Agenda Item 12)</p> <p>RESOLVED:</p> <p>The approval be granted to the Council drafting and entering into a funding agreement with Ferryboat Properties Ltd for a total Growth Area Funding grant sum of £2,502,000.</p>	<p>DUP</p>																												
<p>TEX170.</p>	<p>THE BRIDGE NDC DRAFT DELIVERY PLAN 2007/08 (Report of the Assistant Chief Executive Policy, Performance, Partnerships and Communications - Agenda Item 14)</p> <p>Councillor Diakides and Councillor Harris both declared a personal</p>																													

**MINUTES OF THE EXECUTIVE
TUESDAY, 20 FEBRUARY 2007**

	<p>interest in this item by virtue of being a Council representative on the Bridge NDC Board.</p> <p>RESOLVED:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. That it be noted that the Bridge New Deal for Communities draft Delivery Plan Programme for 2007/08 and that it was subject to approval by the Government Office for London. 2. That it also be noted that the Delivery Plan was subject to formal agreement by the Government Office for London. 3. That the Council's critical role as Accountable Body both in supporting and delivering the Bridge New Deal for Communities programme be noted. 4. That the Bridge New Deal for Communities draft Delivery Plan Programme for 2007/08 as set out in the Appendix to the interleaved report be endorsed. 	ACE-PPPC
TEX171.	<p>RENT AND TENANTS SERVICE CHARGE INCREASE 2007/08 (Joint Report of the Director of Adult, Culture and Community Services and the Acting Director of Finance - Agenda Item 15)</p> <p>Councillor Reith declared a personal interest in this item by virtue of being a leaseholder.</p> <p>RESOLVED:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. That approval be granted to an average rent increase of 5% with a maximum increase per property of £3.60. 2. That approval be granted to the tenant service charge increases as set out in paragraphs 10.1, 10.2 and 10.3 of the interleaved report. 	DACC /DF DACC /DF
TEX172.	<p>URGENT ACTIONS IN CONSULTATION WITH THE LEADER OR EXECUTIVE MEMBERS (Report of the Chief Executive - Agenda Item 16)</p> <p>RESOLVED:</p> <p>That the report be noted and any necessary action approved.</p>	
TEX173.	<p>DELEGATED DECISIONS AND SIGNIFICANT ACTIONS (Joint Report of the Chief Executive and the Acting Director of Finance - Agenda Item 17)</p> <p>RESOLVED:</p> <p>That the report be noted and any necessary action approved.</p>	

**MINUTES OF THE EXECUTIVE
TUESDAY, 20 FEBRUARY 2007**

<p>TEX174.</p>	<p>NEW ITEMS OF URGENT BUSINESS – REVIEW OF PARKING FEES AND CHARGES (Reference from the Overview and Scrutiny - Agenda Item 18)</p> <p>Our Chair agreed to accept the report as urgent business. The report was late because the matter had not been considered by the Overview and Scrutiny Committee until 13 February. The report was too urgent to await the next meeting because the Constitution required that decisions referred back by the Overview and Scrutiny Committee had to be reconsidered within 5 working days.</p> <p>With our consent the circulated paper 18f – Consultation Strategy – Residents Permits was amended by the deletion of ‘To include a map of the borough showing CPZs’ from No.12 – Publicise in Haringey People – Distribution across the Borough and of ‘tabled’ from No.16 Report to be presented to the Executive.</p> <p>In response to questions, we were advised that a leaflet setting out the aims and objectives of the review of parking fees and parking charges policy would be circulated in all existing CPZ’s as well as in areas covered by proposed extensions to existing CPZ’s and newly proposed CPZ’s where consultation had already taken place. We were also advised that responses to the statutory consultation would be analysed as they were received rather than all being left to the end of the consultation period on 11 April.</p> <p>RESOLVED:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. That approval be granted to the proposed consultation period for the Parking Fees and Charges being increased from three to six weeks. 2. That, having regard to the concerns voiced about the consultation process, approval be granted to the revised consultation strategy as set out at Agenda Item 18f. 	<p>DUE</p> <p>DUE</p>
<p>TEX175.</p>	<p>WARDS CORNER (Joint Report of the Chief Executive and the Acting Director of Finance - Agenda Item 20)</p> <p>The report was the subject of a motion to exclude the press and public from the meeting as it contained exempt information relation to the business or financial affairs of any particular person (including the Authority holding that information).</p> <p>RESOLVED:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. That the challenges in developing the Wards Corner site, in particular the complex, predominantly private sector ownership and the structural constraints and high development costs caused by the shallow lying tube and three adjacent tunnels and proximity of neighbouring properties be noted. 	

**MINUTES OF THE EXECUTIVE
TUESDAY, 20 FEBRUARY 2007**

	<p>2. That the significant regeneration benefits, for the Seven Sisters New Deal for Communities community and that of Tottenham Green from a development at this key gateway to Haringey be welcomed and the proposed contribution of the NDC be noted.</p> <p>3. That approval be granted to the comprehensive redevelopment of the Wards Corner site together with the Apex House site being progressed with separate delivery agents.</p> <p>4. That approval be granted to the timetable as set out in paragraphs 11.4 and 11.5 of the report to enable the pre-conditions for comprehensive redevelopment to be satisfied.</p>	<p>ACE – PPPC</p> <p>ACE - PPPC</p>
--	--	---

GEORGE MEEHAN
Chair